U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service





## Land-based Wind Energy Guidelines

#### Montana Wildlife & Wind Energy Virtual Workshop

April 29, 2021

#### Overview

- Promote siting wind projects to avoid significant adverse impacts;
- Encourage scientifically rigorous surveys, monitoring and research;
- Produce comparable data;
- Mitigate significant adverse impacts;
- Voluntary (FWS advisory)
- Still need to comply with ESA, MBTA, BGEPA



#### **FWS Authorities**

#### Endangered Species Act

- Sec 7 applies when a Federal nexus exists
- Sec 9 prohibits unauthorized "take" of T&E species
- Sec 10 can authorize "take" on private lands
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act
  - Prohibits unauthorized "take" of >1,000 species, nests or eggs
- Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
  - Prohibits unauthorized "take" of eagles, nests, parts
  - Permits to "take" available (2016 Rule, 2013 Land-based Wind Energy ECP Guidance)

#### Montana T&E Species

- 3 Fish: pallid sturgeon (E), white sturgeon (E), bull trout (T, CH)
- 4 Birds: whooping crane (E), piping plover (T, CH), red knot (T), yellow-billed cuckoo (T)
- 4 Mammals: black-footed ferret (E/XN), grizzly bear (T), Canada lynx (T, CH), northern longeared bat (T)
- 4 Plants: water howellia (T), Ute ladies' tresses (T), Spalding's campion (T), whitebark pine (P)
- **2 Insects**: meltwater Lednian and Western Glacier stoneflies (T)









#### Pollinators

#### Western Bumble Bee

- SSA initiated fall 2020
- Surveys in Montana ongoing
- Status review in 2023



#### Yellow-banded Bumble Bee

 Not warranted for listing – August 2019



#### Suckley's Cuckoo Bumble Bee

Petitioned for listing in April 2020



#### Pollinators

#### Monarch Butterfly

- Currently a candidate for listing
- USFWS will propose listing the monarch in 2024, if listing is still warranted





#### Dakota Skipper

- ESA listed, threatened in 2014
- No known observations in Montana

#### **Regal Fritillary**

- USFWS initiated Species Status Assessment (SSA) in 2020
- Montana has single historical observation from Custer County in 1894



U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

# Upper Great Plains Wind Energy Programmatic EIS

- WAPA interconnects
- FWS easements
- 6 states, including MT
- Requires WEG consistency
- Requires ECP (permitting separate)
- Programmatic informal consultation for 11 MT sp.



April 2015

#### **WEG Tiered Approach**

Decision framework for

- collecting information in increasing detail to
  - evaluate risk and
  - make siting and operational decisions.

Consists of 5 tiers:

- 1-3 pre-construction
- 4-5 post-construction



U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

#### **Key Terms**

- Species of concern or habitat fragmentation concern
- Plant communities of concern
- Significant adverse impact
- Utility-scale wind (>20MW)



 Community-scale (> 1 to 20MW) and Distributed wind (1KW – 1MW)

#### **Scope and Scale**

- Guidelines designed for utility-scale land-based wind energy projects
- For distributed or community-scale wind projects:
  - consider the general principles; answer Tier 1 questions using publicly available information.
  - fatality monitoring recommended
- **Appropriately sited** small wind projects are not likely to pose significant risks to species of concern.



#### **Communication Protocol**

- Outline of intended communication between developers and Service.
- Suggests documents or information to be exchanged.
- Key is to meet early and at strategic intervals



#### Tiers

- Tier 1 Preliminary Site Evaluation
- Tier 2 Site Characterization
- Tier 3 Field Studies
- Tier 4 Post-construction Studies
- Tier 5 Other Studies



# **Tiered Approach**

- Embodies adaptive management
- Collect increasingly detailed information to facilitate project decisions (effort:risk)
- Decision points: significant adverse impact probability is
  - low; or mod-high, but can be adequately mitigated (adopt mitigation and post-construction monitoring)
  - high, but cannot be adequately mitigated (delay or abandon)





#### **Pre-Construction Tiers**

- Tiers 1-3: inform decisions, mitigation
  - baseline resources, risk/mitigation potential
  - potential survey methods and metrics
- Developers decide whether to proceed to the next tier and to implementation.

 Timely communication and sharing of information enables Service and partners to provide technical advice (& vice versa).

## **Pre-Construction Tiers**

#### Generally recommend Tiers 1-3 include:

- Habitat type mapping, including wetlands and other unique habitats
- Assessment of site context on the local landscape
- Local expert (FWP, BLM, Tribes, landowner) contacts
- Prey base assessment (prairie dog/ground squirrel colony mapping, fawning/calving, roadkill, etc.)
- 2 years general migratory bird survey plots across all habitat types and seasons (methods variable)
- Other site-specific avian use surveys (grouse leks; migration, roost, or foraging concentration areas, etc.) appropriate to location and season of use

## **Pre-Construction Tiers**

Generally recommend Tiers 1-3 include (continued):

- 2 years bat acoustic surveys
- 2 years non-eagle raptor nest surveys



- 2 years eagle nest, 800-m plot, and other applicable eagle surveys per 2013 ECPG and 2021 FWS R6 eagle nest survey guidance
- Annual reports or interim results for discussion
- Assessment of risk and appropriate mitigation
- Post-construction monitoring discussion

## Sample Tier 1-3 MT Data Sources

- MT Natural Heritage Program: T&E, Eagle, SOC, Plant COC, NWI data (http://mtnhp.org/)
- DNRC Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Prog: maps and process (sagegrouse.mt.gov)
- FWP: 2020 Bat/Wind Energy Recommendations, Maps (https://gismtfwp.opendata.arcgis.com/), lek monitoring protocols
- Nearby wind projects (??)



### Sample Tier 1-3 MT Data Sources

- FWS 2008 Bird Species of Conservation Concern: MT BCRs 10-Northern Rockies, 11-Prairie Potholes, 17-Badlands & Prairies (https://www.fws.gov/birds/management/manage d-species/birds-of-conservation-concern.php)
- FWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC): T&E, BCC (ecos.fws.gov/ipac/)
- FWS MT ES Field Office T&E lists: by county, National Forest, National Park, Tribal lands (https://www.fws.gov/montanafieldoffice/Endang ered\_Species/Listed\_Species.html)

### FWS R6 Tier 1-3 MT Data Sources

- V3 2021 Buffer Recommendations for Wind Energy Projects (https://www.fws.gov/mountainprairie/migbirds/index.php)
- V3 2021 Recommended Eagle Nest Survey Protocol for Wind Projects
- V3 2021 Golden Eagle Buffer Recommendations for Wind Facilities
- V3 2021 Wind Project ECP Recommendations
- FWS R6 Golden Eagle Page: models, reports (https://www.fws.gov/mountainprairie/migbirds/species/birds/golden\_eagle/)



## **Cumulative Impacts**

- Comprehensive effect on the environment that results from incremental impact of a project
- Added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions.



## **Best Management Practices**

- Site construction
- Operation
- Retrofitting
- Repowering
- Decommissioning



## Mitigation

- Avoid and/or minimize significant adverse impacts (includes siting, BMPs, etc.)
- Compensate for unavoidable significant adverse impacts
- Terms, commitments, triggers,
  & thresholds developed early
- Well-defined, bounded, feasible, commensurate with perceived/actual impacts



#### **Mitigation Resource Examples**

- FWS 1981, 2016 Umbrella and ESA mitigation policies (2016 policies rescinded but concepts apply)
- FWS 2013 ECPG (ILF option[s] available)
- MT Sage Grouse Habitat Cons. Program
- FWP 2020 Bat/Wind Recommendations
- FWS R6 GOEA and Wildlife Buffer Recommendations
- APLIC (aplic.org/documents.php)
- Literature (e.g., Shaffer and Buhl 2015)

## **Post-Construction Tiers**

- How will (or did) we actually do on Tiers 1-3?
- Tier 4 Post-construction studies to estimate impacts
  - 4a Fatality Studies



- 4b Assessing direct and indirect impacts of habitat loss, degradation & fragmentation
- Tier 5 Other post-construction studies
  - Will not be necessary for most wind energy projects if WEG followed

# **Tier 4a – Fatality Monitoring**

- Fatality studies generally include
  - 1 to >2-year term (related to risk)
  - All seasons of species occupancy
  - Searcher efficiency trials
  - Carcass persistence trials
- Example PCFM Background Sources
  - USGS, FWP 2019 Spion Kop Report (local), literature, FWS R6 ETP ECPs/Permits
  - FWP 2020 Bat/Wind Energy Recommendations
  - FWS R6 Migratory Birds Program will determine PCFM requirements for eagle take permits



## **Tier 4b and 5 Studies**

- Tier 4b Studies/Monitoring
  - Assess indirect impacts
  - Examples: raptor nest monitoring, grouse lek monitoring, bat acoustic monitoring
- Tier 5 "Other" Studies
  - Not "research" focused on Project-level issues
  - Table 3 decision framework
  - Fatalities > predictions, mitigation ineffective, etc.
  - Examples: demographic impact assessment, study of relationships between fatalities and covariates



#### **Appropriate Documentation**

- Developers / operators are responsible
- Internal records / files
- Environmental studies / survey reports
- Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy (BBCS) FWS R6 Guidance at: https://www.fws.gov/mountainprairie/migbirds/index.php



#### **Bird & Bat Conservation Strategies**

#### • Purpose:

- Pre-construction it provides the documentation of analyses, studies to support the tier process
- Post-construction it provides written record of actions to avoid, minimize, compensate for potential impacts
- Completion can be in stages; any format
- BBCS is voluntary; Service review is advisory only



## **Local Contacts**



Jake Martin Assistant Project Leader USFWS Ecological Services Helena, MT jacob\_martin@fws.gov

Jeff Berglund Supervisory Fish and Wildlife Biologist USFWS Ecological Services Helena, MT jeff\_berglund@fws.gov

https://www.fws.gov/ecologicalservices/energydevelopment/wind.html